ARCHITED ARTHUR STREET

Organization TC 1880
U. S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE
WASHINGTON, DC 20231
IF UNDELIVERABLE RETURN IN TEN DAYS

OFFICIAL BUSINESS

AN EQUAL OPPORTUNITY EMPLOYER

COPY OF PAPERS

MAJUED FROM ZP CODE 20

\$ 00.000

the H \ablum 17 in A \ablum 17 in A \ablum 17 in A \ablum 17 in A \ablum 18 in A \alpha 18 in A

01/24/2002



## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/936,954	01/24/2002	Parker Small	UF-1561A-US	2929
759	90 11/23/2003		EXAMINER	
Timothy H Van Dyke			ZEMAN, ROBERT A	
Van Dyke & Associates 1630 Hillcrest Street			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Orlando, FL 3			1645	

DATE MAILED: 11/28/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summon	09/936,954	SMALL ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Robert A. Zeman	1645			
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover si	heet with the correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RETHE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state  - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the maximum period patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status	N. R 1.136(a). In no event, however, reply within the statutory minimuriod will apply and will expire SIX atute. cause the application to be	or, may a reply be timely filed  um of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  K (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  Ecome ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2	4 January 2002.				
2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☐ T	his action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims					
4) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-15</u> is/are pending in the applicat 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are without 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) □ Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-15</u> are subject to restriction and	drawn from considerati				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Exam			٠		
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to					
		drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	e Examiner. Note the a	attached Office Action of form 1 10 102.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120		U.C.C. £ 440/a) (d) or (f)			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority docum 2. Certified copies of the priority docum 3. Copies of the certified copies of the papplication from the International Bu  * See the attached detailed Office action for a 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dom since a specific reference was included in the 37 CFR 1.78.  a) The translation of the foreign language 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dom reference was included in the first sentence of	nents have been received the service of the certification of the certified copposite of the service of the serv	ved. ved in Application No ve been received in this National Stage a)). vies not received. U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application) specification or in an Application Data Sheet. u.s.c. §§ 120 and/or 121 since a specific			
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No	3) 5) 🔲 N	nterview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) Other:			

ħ.

Application/Control Number: 09/936,954

Art Unit: 1645

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Election/Restrictions

Restriction is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 and 372.

This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions which are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

In accordance with 37 CFR 1.499, applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single invention to which the claims must be restricted.

Group I, claim(s) 1-2, drawn to methods of differentiating between allergic rhinitis, upper respiratory tract viral infection and bacterial sinusitis.

Group II, claim(s) 3-6, drawn to drawn to methods of differentiating between allergic rhinitis, upper respiratory tract viral infection and bacterial sinusitis utilizing a test strip.

Group III, claim(s) 7-15, drawn to test strips for differentiating between allergic rhinitis, upper respiratory tract viral infection and bacterial sinusitis.

The inventions listed as Groups I-III do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, they lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons:

Pursuant to 37 C.F.R. 1.475(d), the ISA/US considers that where multiple products and processes are claimed, the main invention shall consist of the first invention of the category first mentioned in the claims and the first recited invention of each of the other categories related thereto. Accordingly, the main invention (Group I) comprises the first recited **method**, methods of differentiating between allergic rhinitis, upper respiratory tract viral infection and bacterial sinusitis. Further pursuant to 37 C.F.R. 1.475(d), the ISA/US considers that any feature which the subsequently recited products and methods share with the main invention does not constitute a special technical feature within the meaning of PCT rule 13.2 and that each of such products and methods accordingly defines a separate invention.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Application/Control Number: 09/936,954

Art Unit: 1645

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

The examiner has required restriction between product and process claims. Where applicant elects claims directed to the product, and a product claim is subsequently found allowable, withdrawn process claims that depend from or otherwise include all the limitations of the allowable product claim will be rejoined in accordance with the provisions of MPEP § 821.04. Process claims that depend from or otherwise include all the limitations of the patentable product will be entered as a matter of right if the amendment is presented prior to final rejection or allowance, whichever is earlier. Amendments submitted after final rejection are governed by 37 CFR 1.116; amendments submitted after allowance are governed by 37 CFR 1.312.

In the event of rejoinder, the requirement for restriction between the product claims and the rejoined process claims will be withdrawn, and the rejoined process claims will be fully examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. Thus, to be allowable, the rejoined claims must meet all criteria for patentability including the requirements of 35 U.S.C. 101, 102, 103, and 112. Until an elected product claim is found allowable, an otherwise proper restriction requirement between product claims and process claims may be maintained. Withdrawn process claims that are not commensurate in scope with an allowed product claim will not be rejoined. See "Guidance on Treatment of Product and Process Claims in light of *In re Ochiai, In re* 

Application/Control Number: 09/936,954

Art Unit: 1645

Brouwer and 35 U.S.C. § 103(b)," 1184 O.G. 86 (March 26, 1996). Additionally, in order to retain the right to rejoinder in accordance with the above policy, Applicant is advised that the process claims should be amended during prosecution either to maintain dependency on the product claims or to otherwise include the limitations of the product claims. Failure to do so may result in a loss of the right to rejoinder.

Further, note that the prohibition against double patenting rejections of 35 U.S.C. 121 does not apply where the restriction requirement is withdrawn by the examiner before the patent issues. See MPEP § 804.01.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Robert A. Zeman whose telephone number is (703) 308-7991. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday- Thursday, 7am -5:30 p.m..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Lynette Smith can be reached on (703) 308-3909. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 308-4242.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0196.

Robert A. Zeman

November 26, 2003